

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,054	10/24/2005	Tetsu Umeda	Q89816	8303
23373 7590 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAM	UNER
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			FIORITO, JAMES	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON. DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/554,054	UMEDA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAMES A. FIORITO	1793		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

	WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Inside of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no went, however, may a reply be timely fixed SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The provision of the communication
Si	atus	
	2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 <u>December 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <u>Ex parte Quayle</u> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Di	isposit	ion of Claims
	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-5.17 and 18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 and 17-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
4	pplicat	ion Papers
	10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on isfare: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Pı	riority i	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
	a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 3. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interv
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5) Notice

Interview Summary (PTO-413
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.
6) Other: _____

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/554,054

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be necatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, and 17-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Torii US 20040028601.

Torii teaches a barium titanate product with a c/a ratio of between 1.0068 to 1.0092 (Paragraph 77) and a Ba/Ti ratio of between 0.990 to 1.010 (Paragraph 78).

Torri does not expressly state the "d/D" as described in instant claim 1. However, where the claimed and prior art product(s) are identical or substantially identical, or are produced by identical or substantially identical process(es) the burden of proof is on applicant to establish that the prior art product(s) do not necessarily or inherently

Art Unit: 1793

possess the characteristics of the instantly claimed product(s), see In re Best, 195 USPQ 430.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, and 17-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES A. FIORITO whose telephone number is (571)272-7426. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,054 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James A Fiorito/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793 /Wayne Langel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793